

Suggestion for a simple QoS Metric

Cornelia Kappler

ICM - Information Communication Mobile
Siemens AG
Berlin
Germany

Andrew Reeve

Roke Manor Research
Romsey
UK

Quantification of per-flow QoS

Service Level Agreements (SLAs) include
QoS guarantee

Must be able to quantify breach of SLA beyond Yes / No

Background and Motivation

QoS can be provided with different techniques

overprovisioning, DiffServ, MPLS, IntServ, ...

“Degree” of QoS achieved varies depending on

technique employed, network dimensioning, traffic mix...

Assumption:

any QoS technique can deliver almost any “degree” of QoS

- ✍ setting up simulation to understand the problem
- ✍ Need to quantify per-flow QoS beyond Yes / No

QoS performance guarantee in SLS

✍ Service Level Specifications (SLS):
standardized technical description of a SLA
(draft-tequila-sls-00)

✍ contains „performance guarantee“, coupled to a flow description, with

✍ guaranteed throughput

✍ packet loss

✍ delay

✍ jitter

✍ e.g. (delay = 10 ms, time interval = 5 min, quantile = 10E-3)

✍ probability (ingress-to-egress delay > 10 ms) < 10E-3,
for any measurement period of 5 minutes.

Suggestion for a per-flow QoS Metric

Requirement: simplicity

minimum or no dependency on user perception

per-flow QoS Metric

measure percentage of packets satisfying all of the performance guarantee criteria

if n % of packets of a flow satisfy all criteria of the performance guarantee,
the flow received n % QoS

Discussion

- ✍ Is this QoS metric too simple?
 - ✍ 80% QoS might be fine for web browsing, but intolerable for IP telephony
 - ✍ include more application specific information?

- ✍ The QoS metric is „per-flow“, application (flow) specific information can be added later

- ✍ application specific information already included in performance guarantee
 - ✍ e.g. for real-time flows the bounds on delay and jitter are set tighter than for non-realtime flows