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Abstract—The class of polling systems, i.e., multiqueue systems with cyclic service, plays an important role in the performance evaluation of various computer and communication systems, e.g., switching systems with distributed control and token-passing local-area networks. Although the behavior of users and the corresponding incoming traffic characteristics in such systems are increasingly complex, most analytical performance studies in the literature are based on the assumption of Poisson input processes. In this paper, an approximate discrete-time analysis of polling systems with finite capacity of waiting places and nonexhaustive service (or more precisely, limited service by one) is presented, considering general renewal input traffic. The analysis method is based on the use of efficient discrete convolution operations based on fast convolution algorithms, e.g., the fast Fourier transform (FFT). To illustrate the accuracy of the approximation and its dependency on system parameters, numerical results are given.

I. INTRODUCTION

In modeling and performance evaluations of a broad spectrum of computer and communication systems, e.g., investigations of communication structures in switching systems with distributed control and token-passing local-area networks, etc. the class of polling models, i.e., multiqueue systems with cyclic service is often employed. Owing to the architecture of such systems and the respective new services, the traffic mix of subscribers and users on telecommunication networks and user behavior are of high complexity. There is therefore an increasing tendency for the need of more complex processes to describe traffic streams in such environments.

To include more realistic modeling elements in the class of polling systems, two main objectives are taken into account in this paper: i) the consideration of general renewal processes as inputs, and ii) the modeling component in respect of finite waiting capacity of devices or stations in polling systems. From the analytic viewpoint, this study takes advantage of discrete-time analysis methods, where efficient convolution and transformation algorithms [e.g., the fast Fourier transform (FFT)] are employed.

Polling systems have been the subject of numerous studies in the literature [1]–[16]. A survey on the analysis of polling systems can be found in Takagi [15]. Various polling mechanisms, like cyclic or priority order (cf., [11]), and several service disciplines, e.g., exhaustive, nonexhaustive or gating, have been considered. Some of these studies take into account the switchover time, i.e., the time interval spent by the server in switching over from one queue to the succeeding one. In most of these studies, input processes are assumed to be Poisson, and the queues of the polling system to have infinite capacity.

An approximation of polling systems under symmetrical load conditions, constant switchover time and gating service is given by Leibowitz [10]. Cooper and Murray have considered a system with gating or exhaustive service and zero switchover time [4]. Their approach has been generalized by Eisenberg [5] and Hashida [6] to nonzero switchover time. The case of two queues with nonexhaustive service and nonzero switchover time was taken into account by Boxma [1] where an exact solution has been derived. An approximate analysis technique for polling systems with nonexhaustive service and general switchover time has been developed by Kuehn [8], [9]. Approximate formulas for some general classes of polling systems can be found in Boxma and Meister [2] and Bux and Truong [3]. Morris and Wang [12] and Raith [13] have provided analytical approaches to deal with polling systems with multiple servers, while Raith and Tran-Gia [14] considered the influence of the contention at the receiving part of a polling system in a more general context. Polling systems with finite capacity of waiting places and Poisson input traffic have been analyzed approximately in Tran-Gia and Raith [16].

One of the main features of this study is the use of analysis methods operating in the discrete-time domain. The discrete-time approach is justified by the fact that the parameterization of model components is often based on data measured in terms of histograms. The discrete-time nature of model components can be registered in a number of modeling processes, e.g., in performance investigations of packet-switching systems, time-slotted systems, etc.

There is a number of studies [17]–[22] which deal with the analysis of discrete-time models. In Hunter [18] and Kobayashi [19] surveys can be found. Examples for the use of discrete-time analysis methods can be seen in the case of G/G/1 queues where numerical solutions are given [17], [20], [21] based on the discrete-time form of the Lindley's relation [23], [24]. An interesting algorithm for calculation of the waiting-time distribution function of the G/G/1 queue has been presented by Ackroyd [17], where methods used in signal processing theory (in both time and frequency domains) and fast convolution algorithms are employed. In [21], calculation algorithms can be found for the idle time and interdeparture distributions of the class of G/G/1 queues with discrete-
III. DISCRETE-TIME ANALYSIS

A. Discrete-Time Random Variables and Notation

In the context of this analysis, we consider the random variables to be of discrete-time nature, i.e., the time axis is conceived to be divided into intervals of unit length \( \Delta t \). As a consequence, samples of these random variables are integer multiples of \( \Delta t \); the time discretization is equidistant. In real systems, \( \Delta t \) is often given in discrete-time form, e.g., as transmission time of a bit, byte or packet. The corresponding distributions can be obtained by means of measurements, and arranged in the form of histograms.

The following notation is used for functions belonging to a discrete-time random variable (r.v.) \( X \):

\[
x(k) = \Pr(X = k), \quad -\infty < k < +\infty
\]

distribution of \( X \)

\[
X(k) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} x(i), \quad -\infty < k < +\infty
\]

distribution function of \( X \)

\[
x^x_T(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=+\infty} x(k)z^{-k}
\]

Z-transform of \( x(k) \)

\[
EX
\]

mean of \( X \)

\[
c_X
\]

coefficient of variation of \( X \).

As indicated in Fig. 1, we use the following notation:

- \( g \) number of queues in the polling system,
- \( S \) queue capacity,
- \( A \) r.v. for the interarrival time of the input process at a queue, distribution \( a(k) \).
- \( C_0 \) r.v. for the cycle time, conditioned on an empty queue at the previous scanning instant (i.e., without service of queue \( j \) during the cycle considered),
- \( C_1 \) r.v. for the cycle time, conditioned on a nonempty queue at the previous scanning instant (i.e., with service of queue \( j \)).
- \( B \) r.v. for the service time, distribution \( b(k) \),
- \( O \) r.v. for the switchover time, distribution \( o(k) \).

A sample path of the state process development in a queue chosen arbitrarily, say \( j \), of the polling system is shown in Fig. 2. We observe the cycle time seen from queue \( j \), i.e., the time interval between two consecutive scanning instants at queue \( j \). Similar to the approach provided in [8], two types of conditional cycle times can be distinguished, denoted by the following random variables:

- \( C_0 \) r.v. for the cycle time, conditioned on an empty queue at the previous scanning instant (i.e., without service of queue \( j \) during the cycle considered),
- \( C_1 \) r.v. for the cycle time, conditioned on a nonempty queue at the previous scanning instant (i.e., with service of queue \( j \)).

During a conditional cycle time, a number of messages may arrive according to the arrival process. Depending on the type of conditional cycle, we denote the arrival group as follows:

- \( G_j \) r.v. for the number of messages arriving during a cycle of type \( j \), \( j = 0, 1 \).
Since the process development is observed in the discrete-time domain, several events (e.g., arrival or end-of-service phase of messages, scanning events) can occur simultaneously. In those cases, a convention is made for events to be thought of as being processed in the following order: 1) end of service, 2) scanning, and 3) arrival.

B. Markov-Chain State Probabilities

The state process of an observed queue is affected by two random processes: the arrival process of messages and the scanning process of the server, as depicted in Fig. 2. Since these processes can be correlated, an exact analysis seems intractable.

To use the Markov chain analysis concept, we assume in the following that these two processes are not correlated, e.g., the scanning process driven by the server sees the arrival process of a queue in the same way as an arbitrary outside observer. An approximation can be made to analyze the state process. In this approximation context, we consider the regeneration points of a Markov chain embedded immediately prior to the scanning instants of a queue. The following random variables are used as follows:

- $X_n$: r.v. for the state of the queue observed (i.e., the number of messages in the queue) immediately prior to the $n$th scanning epoch,
- $x_n^+_{n+1}(k)$: r.v. for the state of the queue observed immediately after the $n$th scanning epoch.

Analogous to the definition of conditional cycle times, we define the following conditional r.v. for the state of the queue observed, depending on the previous value of $X_n^+$:

$$X_n^+ = X_n^+ | X_n = 0$$

(1)
$$X_{n+1}^+ = X_{n+1} | X_n > 0$$

(2)

Thus, the relationships between these random variables and their distributions can be obtained.

1) $X_n^+ = 0$

$$x_n^+_{n+1}(k) = x_n^+ + G_0 \cdot S$$

(3)
$$X_{n+1}^0 = \min(x_n^+, G_0, S) = \min(G_0, S)$$

(4)

and the discrete convolution operation:

$$a_3(k) = a_1(k) \ast a_2(k) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_1(k - j) \cdot a_2(j)$$

(5)

$${x_{n+1,0}(k) = \pi^S(x_n^+ \ast g_0(k))}$$

(6)

where

$$\delta(k-m) = \begin{cases} 1 & k = m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(7)

with the operator $\pi^m$ defined as

$$\pi^m(x(k)) = \begin{cases} x(k) & k < m \\ \sum_{i=0}^{k} x(i) & k = m \\ 0 & k > m \end{cases}$$

(8)

and the "*"-symbol denoting the discrete convolution operation.

ii) $X_n > 0$

$$x_n^+_{n,1}(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{x_n^+(k+1)}{P_r(X_n > 0)} & k = 0, 1, \ldots, S - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(9)

$$X_{n+1,1} = \min(X_n^+, G_1, S)$$

(10)

$$x_{n+1,1}(k) = \pi^S(x_n^+ \ast g_1(k))$$

(11)

By eliminating the condition based on $X_n$, we arrive at

$$x_{n+1}(k) = x_n(0) \cdot x_{n+1,0}(k) + (1 - x_n(0)) \cdot x_{n+1,1}(k), \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, S.$$
To evaluate the equation system given in (17), it remains to determine the group-size distributions of \( G_j \), \( j = 0 \). Keeping in mind the assumption of no correlation between the scanning and arrival processes at a queue, we observe a cycle of type \( j \) and the arrival group \( G_j \) of messages arriving in this cycle. The time \( F^{(k)} \) between the previous scanning epoch and the arrival epoch of the \( k \)th message in this group is distributed according to

\[
f^{(k)}(i) = a^*(i) \cdot a((k-1)i)
\]

where \( a((k-1)i) \) denotes the \( n \)-fold convolution of the distribution \( a(i) \) itself, and \( a^*(i) \) denotes the recurrence-time distribution of the interarrival process in the discrete-time domain

\[
a^*(i) = \frac{1}{EA} \left( 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{i} a(n) \right), \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots
\]

Assuming a cycle \( C_j \) of length \( m \), the conditional arrival-group size distribution can be given as follows:

\[
g_j(k|m) = \Pr(\text{group size is } k \text{ if cycle } C_j \text{ is of length } m)
\]

\[
= \Pr\left( F^{(k)} < m \leq F^{(k+1)} \right)
\]

\[
= \Pr\left( F^{(k)} < m \right) - \Pr\left( F^{(k+1)} < m \right)
\]

or

\[
g_j(k|m) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left( f^{(k)}(i) - f^{(k+1)}(i) \right), \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Finally, the distribution of an arrival group \( G_j \) during a cycle \( C_j \) [distribution \( c_j(m) \), \( m > 0 \)] can be obtained:

\[
g_j(k) = g_j(0) \cdot b(k) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_j(m) \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left( f^{(k)}(i) - f^{(k+1)}(i) \right)
\]

\[
k = 0, 1, \ldots, j = 0, 1.
\]

C. Cycle-Time Analysis

Define \( C_j \) to be the r.v. for the cycle-time segment (cf. [16]), i.e., the time interval between the scanning instants of two consecutive queues. In a symmetrical polling system under stationary conditions with the state probability obtained from (17), we obtain

\[
c^S(k) = x(0) \cdot n(k) + (1 - x(0)) \cdot (n(k) \cdot b(k))
\]

or in \( Z \)-transform domain:

\[
c^S_{ZT}(z) = a_{ZT}(z) \cdot (x(0) + (1 - x(0)) \cdot b_{ZT}(z)).
\]

Under the assumption of independency between cycle-time segments, the \( Z \)-transforms of the conditional cycle times can be given as

\[
c^1_{ZT}(z) = a_{ZT}(z) \cdot b_{ZT}(z) \cdot c^{S^{-1}}_{ZT}(z).
\]

Finally, we obtain the \( Z \)-transform of the cycle-time distribution:

\[
c^Z_{ZT}(z) = c^2_{ZT}(z)
\]

\[
= x(0) \cdot c^1_{ZT}(z) + (1 - x(0)) c^1_{ZT}(z).
\]

Equations (25)–(27) can be evaluated efficiently using algorithms belonging to the class of the fast Fourier transform (FFT) algorithms (based on the discrete Fourier transform (DFT), cf. [21], [22], [25]).

D. Discrete-Time Calculation Algorithm

The logical interrelation of the analysis steps in Sections III-B and -C above is as follows. Assuming that the conditional cycle-time distributions are known, the Markov-chain state probabilities can be calculated according to (17)–(22). On the other hand, to calculate the conditional cycle times, as formulated in (23), (25), and (26), we need to know the component \( x(0) \) of the Markov-chain state-probability vector. This fact leads to a numerical calculation algorithm based on an alternating evaluation of the cycle-time distributions and the state-probability vector. The approximation algorithm is similar to the continuous-time algorithm presented in [16]. However, by taking advantage of fast convolution algorithms and the efficient evaluation of \( Z \)-transform using FFT algorithms, the analysis in the discrete-time domain allows the calculation of all the entire distributions (e.g., those of cycle times). This is in contrast, e.g., to algorithms which use a number of moments to characterize distribution functions (e.g., the two-moment matching method).

The main steps of the algorithm are as follows.

i) Initialize the Markov-chain state-probability vector and the conditional cycle-time distributions.

ii) Calculate the conditional cycle-time distributions according to (23), (25), and (26).

iii) Calculate the arrival-group size distributions according to (18), (19), and (22).

iv) Calculate the Markov-chain state-probability vector according to (17).

v) Repeat steps ii), iii), and iv) until a convergence criterion is fulfilled. In the analysis here, the difference between two consecutive means of the state-probability vector is used as convergence criterion.

IV. System Characteristics

Using the equilibrium Markov-chain state probabilities obtained by the algorithm presented above, a number of performance measurements of interest can be derived, which are discussed in this section.

A. Message Blocking Probability

Again, the assumption is made that the scanning and arrival processes are uncorrelated. We first consider the following conditional blocking probability defined by

\[
B(k) = \Pr(\text{message is blocked} | X = k)
\]

Probability for a message in an arrival group to be rejected, conditioned on a number \( X = k \) of
messages waiting in the queue observed at the previous scanning epoch.

Two cases have to be distinguished, $X = 0$ and $X > 0$. Derivation of the conditional blocking probability for case $X > 0$ will be described in detail.

For $X > 0$, i.e., the current cycle time is of type $G_1$, we observe an arbitrarily chosen test message in the group arriving during the cycle. The probability of the test message being in an arrival group of size $i$ is $i \cdot g_1(i)/EG_1$. Assuming further the group size $i$, blocking will occur for $k - 1 + i > S$ where a fraction of $k - 1 + i - S$ of messages will be rejected. Accordingly, the probability of the test message in the rejected fraction is $(k - 1 + i - S)/i$. Finally, for case $X > 0$ we obtain the following conditional blocking probability of messages:

$$B(k) = \sum_{i=S-k+2}^{\infty} \frac{k - 1 + i - S}{i} \cdot \frac{i \cdot g_1(i)}{EG_1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{EG_1} \sum_{i=S-k+2}^{\infty} (k - 1 + i - S) \cdot g_1(i).$$

$k = 1, \ldots, S.$ \hspace{1cm} (28)

The case of an empty queue at the previous scanning instant ($X = 0$) can be analyzed analogously:

$$B(0) = \sum_{i=S+1}^{\infty} \frac{i - S}{i} \cdot g_0(i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{EG_0} \sum_{i=S+1}^{\infty} (i - S) \cdot g_0(i).$$ \hspace{1cm} (29)

By eliminating the condition, we arrive at the blocking probability for messages arriving at a queue of the polling system

$$B_A = \sum_{k=0}^{S} x(k) \cdot B(k)$$

$$= \frac{1}{EG_0} x(0) \sum_{i=S+1}^{\infty} (i - S) \cdot g_0(i)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{EG_1} \sum_{k=1}^{S} x(k) \sum_{i=S-k+2}^{\infty} (k - 1 + i - S) \cdot g_1(i).$$ \hspace{1cm} (30)

B. Arbitrary-Time State Probabilities

From the Markov-chain state-probability vector, which describes the state process at regeneration points chosen immediately prior to the scanning epochs, the state process seen by an arbitrary outside observer, which will be characterized by means of an arbitrary-time state-probability vector, can be derived. Using this probability vector, further system characteristics, e.g., the mean waiting time for messages, can be obtained.

The state process will now be observed at an instant $t^*$ chosen arbitrarily. Since we are operating in the discrete-time domain, $t^*$ is conceived to be immediately prior to a discrete-time epoch (cf., Fig. 3). The probability $P^*_j$ $j = 0, 1$ that the observation instant $t^*$ lies in a conditional cycle of type $C_j$, can be given as follows, using results of semi-Markov processes:

$$P^*_0 = x(0) \frac{EG_0}{EC}$$

$$P^*_1 = 1 - P^*_0 = (1 - x(0)) \frac{EG_1}{EC}. \hspace{1cm} (31, 32)$$

We further consider the distribution of the recurrence cycle time with r.v. $G^*_j$, which represents the discrete-time interval from the previous scanning instant up to the observation point $t^*$:

$$c^*_j(k) = \frac{1}{EG_j} \left(1 - \sum_{i=0}^{k} c_j(i)\right), \hspace{1cm} k = 0, 1, \ldots, j = 0, 1. \hspace{1cm} (33)$$

Denoting $G^*_j(j = 0, 1)$ to be the r.v. of the group of messages arriving during a recurrence cycle time of type $C_j$, we obtain a similar expression for the group-size distribution as in (22) as follows:

$$g^*_j(k) = c^*_j(0) \cdot \delta(k) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c^*_j(m) \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left[f(k)(i) - f(k+1)(i)\right].$$

$$k = 0, 1, \ldots, j = 0, 1. \hspace{1cm} (34)$$

As shown in Fig. 3, the following r.v. for the state process in the queue being observed are introduced.

$X^* \hspace{0.5cm}$ r.v. for the state of the queue being observed at $t^*$

$X, X^* \hspace{0.5cm}$ r.v. for the state of the queue observed immediately prior to and after the previous scanning epoch, respectively.

and, similar to the Markov-chain analysis (cf., (1) and (2)) the random variables conditioned on the state prior to the previous scanning instant:

$$X^+_0 = X^+|X = 0.$$

$$X^+_1 = X^+|X > 0. \hspace{1cm} (35)$$

$$X^-_0 = X^-|X = 0.$$

$$X^-_1 = X^-|X > 0. \hspace{1cm} (36)$$

To calculate the probability vector for $X^*$, the following set of equations describing the interrelations between state random variables and corresponding distributions is required.

i) $X_0 = 0$

$$X^+_0 = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (37)

$$x^+_0(k) = \delta(k).$$ \hspace{1cm} (38)
\[ X_0^* = \min(X_0^* + G_0, S) = \min(G_0, S) \]  
(39)  
\[ x_0^*(k) = \pi^S (x_0^* (k) * g_0^*(k)). \]  
(40)  

Hence, the arbitrary-time state probabilities can be given as  
\[ x^*(k) = P_0^* \cdot x_0^*(k) + P_1^* \cdot x_1^*(k). \]  
(45)  

Using (31)–(33) and (37)–(44), we obtain the final set of equations to determine the arbitrary-time state probabilities:  
\[ x^*(k) = \frac{E_{g_0}}{E_C} x(0) \cdot g_0(k) + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} x(i) \cdot g_0^*(k-i) \]  
(46)  
\[ x^*(S) = \frac{E_{g_0}}{E_C} x(0) \sum_{i=S}^{\infty} g_0(i) + \sum_{i=S}^{\infty} x(i) \cdot g_0^*(i-m) \]  

C. Waiting Time of Accepted Messages

Having the state probabilities of a queue seen by an arbitrary outside observer, we can use Little’s theorem to determine the mean waiting time of accepted messages  
\[ EW_A = \frac{EX^* \cdot EA}{1 - B_A}. \]  
(47)  

V. RESULTS AND APPROXIMATION ACCURACY

Taking as example a symmetrical polling system with \( g = 5 \) queues having finite capacities \( S = 5 \), numerical results are presented in this section to discuss the application of the calculation algorithm and the approximation accuracy. The switchover time is assumed to be deterministic equal to \( 0.5 \) EB, and the discrete-time axis is scaled to \( \Delta t = 1 \). Time variables are normalized to the mean service time \( EB = 10 \cdot \Delta t \). The offered traffic intensity is denoted by \( \rho = EB / EA \).

To validate the approximate analysis, computer simulations are provided. The simulation results will be depicted with their 95% confidence intervals, calculated using the Student-t test technique.

It should be noted here that the results presented below focus on the influence of the characteristics of input processes, which are the main new modeling components of this study.

To obtain a parametric representation of various random-process types, we consider here the interarrivial and service times having distributions given by their two parameters, e.g., the mean and the coefficient of variation. For this purpose, we employ the negative binomial distribution. Thus, for an r.v. \( X \) with mean \( EX \) and coefficient of variation \( c_X \), the distribution is  
\[ x(k) = \binom{y + k - 1}{k} p^y (1-p)^k, \quad 0 \leq p < 1, y \text{ real} \]  
(48)  
where  
\[ p = \frac{1}{EX \cdot c_X^2}, \quad y = \frac{EX}{EX \cdot c_X^2 - 1}, \quad EX \cdot c_X^2 > 1. \]  

As mentioned above, all the entire distributions of the random variables of interest can be obtained, using discrete-time analysis methods. An example is given in Fig. 4 where the complementary cycle-time distribution function is depicted for different values of the service-time coefficient of variation \( c_B \). Two main effects can be seen clearly here: i) the step-wise functions, and ii) the geometric caudal characteristics of the discrete-time distribution functions obtained.

In Figs. 5 and 6, the mean and the coefficient of variation of the cycle time are depicted as functions of the offered traffic intensity \( \rho \) for different types of interarrivial and service processes. The choice of parameters in these figures is based on detailed studies showing that the mean cycle time \( EC \) is affected mainly by the type of arrival processes, while the cycle-time coefficient of variation \( c_E \) is primarily influenced by the type of service processes. It should be noted here that the coefficients of variation of these discrete-time processes are chosen to be equivalent to the deterministic \((c_A, c_B = 0)\), the Erlangian of fourth order \((c_A, c_B = 0.5)\), the Markovian \((c_A, c_B = 1)\), and the hyperexponential \((c_A, c_B = 1.5)\). cf. [16]) distributions. With respect to the traffic level, the two lower and upper bounds of the mean cycle time can be observed (cf., Fig. 5): i) the empty cycle at disappearing traffic intensities (sum of just switchover times), and ii) the
maximal cycle at very high traffic levels (service at each queue during the polling cycle). For arrival processes with higher coefficients of variation, the blocking effect will become noticeable, which leads to smaller cycle lengths. As depicted in Fig. 6, the cycle-time coefficient of variation has a maximum value which increases with increasing service-time coefficient of variation.

The blocking probability of arriving messages and the mean waiting time of accepted messages are depicted in Figs. 8 and 7, respectively, where attention is again devoted to the influence of the arrival process. The mean waiting time is drawn in Fig. 7 for accepted messages. Hence, a crossover phenomenon can be recognized, which can be verified on considering the higher blocking probability, i.e., smaller number of accepted messages, for larger values of $c_A$. The cross point of the curves in Fig. 7 corresponds to the value of the traffic intensity, where the blocking probability becomes significant (cf., Fig. 8). For the case of disappearing traffic intensities, the waiting time of messages consists of just the recurrence time of empty cycles.

As seen in the diagrams, with the exception of blocking probabilities at higher $c_A$ values, the overall approximation accuracy for the system parameters given ($g = 5$, $S = 5$, $EO = 0.5$ EB) is sufficient for system-engineering purposes. However, two main restriction factors for use of the approximation should be mentioned here: i) the accuracy of the approximate analysis is of decreasing tendency for smaller
values of switchover time and higher values of $c_A$, $c_B$, and ii) the computing efforts increase overproportionally with the values of $g$ and $S$, according to the lengths of probability vectors involved in convolution operations.

Although the computational scheme is complex, for the parameter range discussed in this section, the convergence behavior of the algorithm is good. For the parameters shown above in the figures, convergence has been typically reached after less than 50 iteration cycles.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, an approximate algorithm for polling systems with finite capacity of waiting places and nonexhaustive service (or more precisely, limited service of one message per service) is presented. The analysis is made in the discrete-time domain, based on the evaluation of discrete convolution operations taking advantage of fast convolution algorithms, e.g., the fast Fourier transform. Attention is devoted to two essential modeling aspects: i) consideration of general renewal input traffic, and ii) assumption of finite capacity of waiting places in the system. Numerical examples are shown to illustrate the approximation accuracy of the analysis. The approximation is validated by means of computer simulations. The class of polling models considered here can be used in the performance investigation of a broad spectrum of models in computer and communication systems.
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